Abstract. A model has been developed that relates the stages of spring bud development of 'Redhaven' and 'Elberta' peaches (Prunus persica (L.) Batsch) to an accumulation of growing degree hours following rest completion. The accumulation of growing degree hours is based on a lower limit of 4.5°C and an upper limit of 25°C.

The susceptibility of peach trees to cold damage becomes greater as the buds develop in the spring. Peach buds may survive -27°C during midwinter, but as warmer weather occurs after completion of rest, growth begins and cold hardiness rapidly dissipates (5). Peach trees that had completed rest show the maximum rate of bud development between 26°C and 27°C in growth chambers.

The fact that the linear increase in growth rate with increasing temp holds only over a limited range and varies for different plants was recognized by researchers several years ago. For these reasons a new growing degree day model for corn was developed which used a 50°F lower limit and an 86°F upper limit (1). This model has been accepted by the industry. Another model for cool-temperature crops uses a base of 4.5°C and an upper limit of 77°F (8). The studies at Utah State University indicate that 'Elberta' and 'Redhaven' peaches respond quite closely to the model proposed for cool-temperature plants and these limits have therefore been used in the model proposed in this paper.

As the phenological development of peach trees was observed in greenhouse and growth chamber studies, we recognized the need for a smaller scale energy unit than the growing degree day (GDD) and developed the growing degree hour (GDH) model. This model uses the same limits as the growing degree day model. One growing degree hour Celsius (GDH°C) is defined as 1 hour at a temp 1°C above the base temp of 4.5°C. GDHs are calculated by subtracting 4.5°C from each hourly temp between 4.5°C and 25°C. All temp above 25°C are assumed equal to 25°C; thus the greatest accumulation for any 1 hour is 20.5 GDH's.

The use of the GDH in place of the GDD model becomes even more significant when the accumulation of energy units obtained by using the two models early in the growing season is compared. A comparison of the accumulations obtained using normal temp at the Salt Lake City Airport between March 1 and April 18, indicates 1/3 more energy units accumulated using the GDH than the GDD model. Since the tree responds to energy minute by minute and not just day by day this difference is quite important.

In this present study 6 'Redhaven' peach trees (5 years old) were transplanted into 27-gallon containers early in the fall. After leaf drop, the trees were placed in a cold storage room at 4°C until their chilling requirements were satisfied. The trees were maintained at this temp until needed, and then allowed to develop in a fiberglass greenhouse maintained at 15.5 ± 4°C. Temp were recorded with standard Weather Service thermographs. Phenological stages published by Washington State University were used (4).

In the first test, 2 trees placed in the greenhouse at 2 PM on Dec. 26, 1972 reached full bloom Jan. 13, 17 days later (Table 1). Comparable trees in a second test initiated Jan. 10, 1973 at 4:30 PM reached full bloom on Jan. 28, 1973. The averages of the growing degree hours obtained in these 2 tests (Table 1) were used to develop our model.

To evaluate the model in the field, dates of full bloom of 'Redhaven' peach trees in Logan and Salt Lake City, Utah during the 1971-72 season were related to their GDH accumulations after rest

---

Table 1. Pheno-climatography of 'Redhaven' peaches grown in the greenhouse.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage description</th>
<th>Test 1 accumulation (GDH°C)</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Test 2 accumulation (GDH°C)</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Avg accumulation (GDH°C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Development</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>begins</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Dec. 26, '72</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Jan. 10, '73</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bud swell</td>
<td>1981</td>
<td>Jan. 1, '73</td>
<td>2705</td>
<td>Jan. 19, '73</td>
<td>2643</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green calyx</td>
<td>2580</td>
<td>Jan. 3, '73</td>
<td>3623</td>
<td>Jan. 22, '73</td>
<td>3667</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pink tip</td>
<td>3710</td>
<td>Jan. 8, '73</td>
<td>4234</td>
<td>Jan. 24, '73</td>
<td>4204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First bloom</td>
<td>4174</td>
<td>Jan. 10, '73</td>
<td>4918</td>
<td>Jan. 28, '73</td>
<td>4922</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full bloom</td>
<td>4926</td>
<td>Jan. 13, '73</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Calculated and observed phenological dates for 'Redhaven' peaches 1971 and 1972.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Rest completion date</th>
<th>Calculated full bloom date</th>
<th>Observed full bloom date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Logan, Utah</td>
<td>Jan. 24, 1972</td>
<td>April 25, 1972</td>
<td>April 27, 1972</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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A comparison of the data for 'Redhaven' peaches in Table 1 with those for 'Elberta' in Table 3, indicates that their recognizable stages of flower development correspond closely for any given energy accumulation.

1973-74 field tests. To further evaluate the model for both peach cultivars relative to field conditions in Utah, weekly phenological observations were taken during the Springs of 1973 and 1974 in 13 peach orchards along the Wasatch Front (Payson to Brigham City, Utah). Due to the severe cold weather in Dec. 1972 many trees were killed and those that survived had very few viable blossoms. Fortunately, seven orchards, that were fairly close to climatological stations in the U.S. Weather Service, contained sufficient blossoms to permit determination of most of the phenological dates. The same orchards were used in 1974. In almost every case the more recognizable stages of development occurred within a few days of the dates predicted by the model. The standard deviation of the difference between observed and calculated full bloom dates for these 2 years of data at the seven orchards was 3.3 days.

Conclusions. Field tests of the combined chill unit-growing degree hour model have proved its usefulness in predicting stages of phenological development.

The model permits an evaluation of the probable effects of various cultural practices in an orchard on tree development. It is also possible to predict the delay in bloom development that can be obtained by cooling the buds with overhead sprinklers. The model was used during the past 2 years in this manner and the predicted delay of the full bloom date was within 1 day of the observed date during both years.
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**Abstract.** High speed reflected light spectrophotometry was used to determine an optimum maturity distribution of mechanically harvested clingstone peaches (Prunus persica (L.) Batsch) for processing. Succinic acid-2,2-dimethylhydrazide (SADH) applied at pit-hardening, advanced the optimum harvest date from 3 to 5 days and increased the yield of processable fruit from 62% for the control trees to 80% for the treated trees.

Mechanical harvesting of clingstone peaches is a once-over operation which results in the harvest of a wide and continuously changing range of maturities. This often is the most serious problem in harvest mechanization (2). Sims et al. (7) reported on effects of SADH on color development (at a given firmness) for freestone fruit but did not consider its effect on the maturity distribution of clingstones.

The relationship between maturity distribution and optimum processing recovery can be critical: if too much fruit is immature, total recovery of processable fruit and processed quality will be reduced; if immature fruit are allowed to ripen, the quantity of over-ripe and tree-dropped fruit increases and total recovery decreases. The objective of this study was to develop a method for selecting the most advantageous time for once-over harvest by determining: 1) the maturity distribution of fruit on individual trees during the harvest period by use of a rapid sorting technique and 2) the effects of SADH on maturity distribution.

A block of 26 mature trees of 'Baby Gold 7' clingstone peaches, located in Greer, South Carolina, was used for this work. At the pit-hardening stage, half the trees were sprayed with 2000 ppm SADH at 15 liters/tree. The remainder served as border trees and controls. Two SADH treated and 2 control trees were harvested with the Clemson peach